Abstract
In an attempt to track the increased vocal health risks for occupational voice users, devices have been
developed for ambulatory monitoring of voice use. These devices are often used either to correlate excessive
voice use with voice problems or to identify voice overuse. The purpose of this presentation is to discuss
benefits and pitfalls of vocal dose measurements in the context of the historical development of the methods.
Discussed will be a short review of landmark papers, the effects of monitoring on teachers, and some
difficulties working in schools. Currently, there are several commercial options available which can be used
to address a range of research questions and clinical needs. Device uncertainty in recording will be discussed.
Finally, while these devices are proven and are readily available for both clinical and research use, the cost
of the technology can be a hindrance. Therefore, the presentation will end with a discussion of a research-
grade voice monitoring system, made from off-the-shelf components from several commercial vendors for
less than $500USD, which could be used to record several hours to several days of data.

1. Introduction
People working in vocally demanding professions
voice problems more frequently than people in
vocally non-demanding professions\(^1\). These
occupational voice users are those who not only
depend on a healthy, versatile voice as a tool for
their profession but would be unable to perform
their primary job responsibility in the event of the
loss of vocal endurance and/or voice quality. These
individuals include (but are not limited to) teachers,
actors, singers, broadcasters, air traffic controllers,
emergency dispatchers, and individuals involved in
telephone customer service or marketing. In the
United States (2000), these include approximately
37 million individuals, or nearly one quarter of the
workforce. Individuals in such professions are
affected by physiology\(^2\), room acoustics\(^3\), the extent
of vocal loading\(^4\), and recovery period\(^5\).
Occupationally related laryngeal injuries can lead
to missed work days or performances, lost revenue,
significant rehabilitation periods, and the need to
change of profession or retire early.

Because of a dependence on a healthy, versatile
voice, an individuals in these professions is affected
by the extent of vocal loading, a term used to
quantify the demands placed on the voice
mechanism by the way a voice is used and how
much it is used\(^6\). Because it is valuable to quantify

how much a voice is used, numerous full-day
ambulatory voice monitors have been developed in
the last few decades to facilitate multiple day
measurement of voice. Additionally, recent
scientific efforts to establish occupational safety
criteria for vocalization has made such
developments that much more important.

In this paper, a short review of several landmark
papers in the development of ambulatory voice
monitors, will be presented. Additionally, a brief
review of some commercial options for monitor
will be touched on, as well as the uncertainty and
problems with the devices. Finally, new directions
in analysis and costs will be discussed.

2. Historical Overview
2.1. Brief History of Development

Voice accumulation and voice dosimetry devices
have been developed for ambulatory monitoring of
voice use, usually to either relate excessive voice
use to voice problems or to identify voice overuse.
One of the earliest devices (called a speaking timer)
used a contact microphone which detected phonation time\(^7\). An additional early prototype,
which logged phonation time and estimated vocal
fundamental frequency, used a contact microphone\(^8\) placed on the neck. Another early
device also used a contact microphone but
estimated phonation time and SPL. Using a completely different approach to monitoring voice over the course of a day was a device that used a pair of noise exposure analyzers from which the phonation time was extracted. By 2001, voice accumulators were collecting phonation time, estimated fundamental frequency, and approximating SPL. From these studies, phonation time, long-term SPL, and F0 data on occupational voice users were added to the scientific knowledge base.

By the mid-2000, there were two distinct lines of work: one used digital recorders and multiple microphones, while the other was based on an accelerometer affixed to the neck. These new devices (one being commercially available) allowed researchers to collect day-long and multiple-day phonation data.

### 2.2. Insights Gained

Such research allowed for new understanding of the effect of phonation on occupational voice users. For example, using hand vibration literature as a model, phonation exposure doses were suggested using empirical models and measured phonation time, phonation fundamental frequency and estimated SPL. Other studies examined the measured effect of using voice amplification by school teachers or tracked a performer’s recovery after a performance. More than just presenting phonation time, fundamental frequency and SPL, variations were used to look in more depth at how the voice was being used based on the three parameters. For example, these devices were used to show how a child would change his vocal style depending on the environment using Voice Use Profiles, all based on the three parameters (Fig. 1). In 2016, the accumulation durations of phonation voicing and silences were shown to be different when comparing teachers with and without voice disorders.

![Fig 1. Two Voice Use Profile plots (contours) showing where the child spent time phonating (a) with adults, and (b) at preschool. The ellipse shows the most frequent 10% of voicing for the environment (after Hunter et al., 2012).](image)

### 2.3. Best Practice & Revisions

As these devices became more widespread, studies began to examine the use of the devices itself to develop best practices (e.g., measurement and calibration, working with teachers, effect of using a monitor on the participating individual). In a conference paper, it was presented that it would take about 12 hours of monitoring to reduce the percentage error on average F0 estimation to about 1% (Fig. 2). Additionally, new analysis techniques have increased reliability and better quantify vocal vibration exposure. Lindstrom et al. suggested a particular low pass filter which would remove many false positives of voicing. Later, a revision of the empirical dose models was reported using neck accelerometer signals and endoscopic images, which resulted in estimated phonation damage risk criteria.

![Fig. 2. Percentage error (multiple subjects) where dark line represents mean error and the gray represents range of error (min, max). Average F0 error decreased to about 1% after 12 hours. (After Mehta et al., 2012).](image)
3. Current Uses and Advances

There are several options available currently, which can be used to address a range of research questions and clinical needs. These devices use either an accelerometer or contact microphone in contact with the neck, reducing interference of external noises. A portable electronic device processes the signal and stores skin vibration amplitude and fundamental frequency, which alleviates privacy concerns. Post-collection analyses are processed on a computer after capture is complete.

As of the time of this writing, three commercial products exist for purchase and/or lease: 1) VocaLog2 (Griffin Labs); 2) VoxLog (Sonvox AB); and 3) Voice-Care (PR.O.VOICE). A fourth previously available device, KayPENTAX’s APM, has been discontinued.

Fig. 3. Four commercially produced devices.

Outside the commercial devices, a few have been created for research purposes. The National Center for Voice and Speech Dosimeter\(^{15}\) has been described in dozens of studies but is not available for outside use. Another option currently being developed by Hillman et al uses a smartphone\(^ {29}\) as the processor with an accelerometer (Fig 4).

3.1. Prohibited Costs

Except for the VocaLog2, the cost of available devices prevents many research labs or clinics to have more than just one or two of these devices. Nevertheless, there are several possibilities on the horizon. First, the development of smartphone options like the one discussed above should be more cost-effective. For example, the makers of the Voice-Care recently announced a smartphone option (Fig. 4b), in which the user would only pay for the transducer and the phone application.

Fig. 4. Smartphone solutions. (a) Research device being developed by Hillman et al\(^{29}\). (b) The Voice-Care-App is currently being developed by the makers of the Voice-Care.

Another option that is currently available uses separate commercially available devices in tandem: the VoxLog’s accelerometer/microphone collar with a digital recorder (e.g. TASCAM or Roland R-05 digital recorders, Fig 5). Because the accelerometer uses a standard 1/8-inch stereo jack, it can be used with many devices. However, this number is reduced by the accelerometer’s need for a power supply. Nevertheless, this device has been successfully used in several studies\(^ {30}\) at a current cost of less than 500 USD.

Fig. 5 VoxLog collar and digital recorders. (left) TASCAM digital recorder ~100USD. (right) Roland R-05 digital recorder ~200USD.

The primary benefit of these types of systems is cost, both the initial investment and replacement if broken (about one tenth of the price of the commercial offerings). This cost savings also opens up the opportunity to run several devices at one time while having several backup components for a fraction of the initial investment.
As with any budget system, there are several limitations. First, there is no support for the device but the technical skills in research team and support obtained from the scientific community. Another limitation is potential privacy issues. The full vibration signal is recorded from the neck collar and is partially intelligible on the recording, adding complexity to recruiting and Institutional Review Board approval if used in a healthcare environment.

In addressing a clinical need or research question, if clinic/research personnel time is costly or if only one or two devices are needed, the commercial devices are adequate. If multiple devices are needed, cost is an issue, or if unique analysis is desired (with available technical expertise), a budget system similar to what is shown here may be more effective.

### 3.1.1. Limited Parameters

Traditionally ambulatory monitors of voice have logged phonation time, SPL and F0. While useful in recording how long, how loud and at what pitch someone is speaking, it does not provide information about vocal effort or any other spectral characteristic. There are some options. First, of the commercial devices, the VoxLog has an option to monitor the SPL of the environment. Second, the Voice-Care has an option to record more audio, so calculating more parameters (e.g., LTAS) is possible. The NCVS Dosimeter\textsuperscript{15} also logged spectral centroid (though never reported), while the Hillman et al.’s smartphone estimates the subglottal signal from the accelerometer to get a pseudo-inversed filtered subglottal impedance\textsuperscript{31} (Fig 4).

The VoxLog collar used in tandem with a recording device provides the option of a full accelerometer signal for analysis, rather than the estimated F0 and level that is traditionally kept. Therefore, other analyses could be conducted (e.g., Cepstral Peak Prominence, Alpha Ratio, Long Term Average Spectrum) and scripts could be written into PRAAT to process dose.

### 3.1.1. Device Uncertainty

To begin to explore device uncertainty, a recent study of the four commercial devices and their uncertainty in estimating the SPL was conducted\textsuperscript{32}. From the results, the device with the highest mean error was the APM, followed by the VoxLog, the VocaLog and the Voice-Care. For fundamental frequency specifically, the VoxLog had the highest mean error, followed by the Voice-Care, and the APM (the VocaLog doesn’t monitor F0). While a first step, further study of the reliability and uncertainty is warranted.

### 4. Conclusions

People working in vocally demanding professions are at an elevated risk for voice issues. Ambulatory monitoring of voice use has provided tremendous insight underlying this risk. Currently, there are several devices a clinician or voice researcher can choose from, depending on use and costs. Within the next few years, the features promise to go up, with the cost maintained or decreasing. The options for researchers and clinicians to use these devices should increase rapidly.
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